OEQC LIBRARY

Addendum To

HANALEI EXCURSION BOAT STAGING OPERATIONS Hanalei, Kauai

TMK 5-5-01:33, 5-5-01:2 (por.)

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Prepared for:

NORTH SHORE CHARTER BOAT ASSOCIATION

Prepared by:

WILSON OKAMOTO & ASSOCIATES, INC.

June 1991

ADDENDUM TO DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT HANALEI EXCURSION BOAT STAGING OPERATIONS HANALEI, KAUAI Prepared for NORTH SHORE CHARTER BOAT ASSOCIATION Prepared by Wilson Okamoto & Associates, Inc. June 1991

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

REVISED TABLE

CONSULTATION

Comments and Responses to the EIS Preparation Notice Persons to be Consulted

INTRODUCTION

The Hanalei Excursion Boat Staging Operations Draft Environmental Impact Statement was filed on October 1990 with the County of Kauai Planning Department in conjunction with a Special Management Area Permit application.

This Addendum to the October 1990 Draft EIS contains a corrected table and comments received after the EIS Preparation Notice for the proposed action was published in the April 23, 1991 issue of the OEQC Bulletin. Responses to these comments are also reproduced herein along with a revised consultation list for the Draft EIS including names of parties responding to the EIS Preparation Notice.

REVISED TABLE

Table 1 of the Draft EIS was revised to correct minor errors in boat counts. The following is a copy of a letter to Mr. Peter Nakamura, Director of the Planning Department for the County of Kauai dated January 30, 1991, transmitting the revised table. The revised table also identifies the companies which hold DOT permits. The revisions do not significantly affect the findings of the Draft EIS.

2844-02 January 30, 1991

Mr. Peter Nakamura, Director Planning Department County of Kauai 4280 Rice Street Lihue, Kauai, Hawaii 96766

Subject:

Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Hanalei Excursion Boat Staging Operations

Dear Mr. Nakamura:

Based on discussions with Mr. Martin Wolff, esq. representing the North Shore Charter Boat Association, it has been determined that Table 1 of the subject Draft EIS contains some errors. The attached table contains the corrected information and should replace Table 1. The revised table also identifies companies holding DOT permits. holding DOT permits.

The corrections do not significantly affect the findings of impacts related to the boating operations.

If you have any questions, please call me.

Sincerely,

Earl K. Matsukawa, Project Manager

North Shore Charter Boat Association Mr. Martin Wolff, esq.

Attachment

HANALEI EXCURSION BOAT STAGING OPERATIONS

	 	NO.OF	LENGTH	BOAT	LAND./	PASS./
	DEDMIT	BOATS	IN FEET	TYPE	DAY	DAY
ERMITTEE	PERMIT	BUATS				
		2	23	Zodiac	6	66
Rat Inc.	1	1	20	Zodiac	1	ļ <u>_</u> _
	L 	1	23	Zodiac	4	60
Rambling Rose Charters	1	'	30	Powercat	1	\
		1	30	Monohull	2	12
ncient Mariner	1		23	Zodiac	4	56
la Pali Ocean Ventures	1	1	30	Powercat	3	45
Tom Hagerty	1	1	23	Zodiac	(\
	<u> </u>	1	23			48
Na Pali Coast Tours	T 1		20	- 	-	<u> </u>
	<u> </u>	1	120			
			26	Mantacat	2	26
Na Pali Adventures	1	1				36
Kailani Inc.	11				 -	24
Michelle Cole	1	1		Maritada	<u> </u>	
			25	Monohul	1 4	24
Paradise Adventures	1					
Paradise Ocean Tours	1	1		2 NOTION	<u>" </u>	
, alasies				Inflatable		2 40
Fantasy Island	1	'	2			2 32
Blue Odessey		<u> </u>	2	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	6 36
Seascape	-	` L	1 4		<u>''</u>	- <u> </u>
			1 2			3 18
Keel Haul Tours		1	1 2	0 Zodia		<u></u>
Neel Hadi Todio						4 24
Capt. Na Pali Cruises		1	•	3 Zodia		-
	į		1 2	0 Zodia		!
						4 24
Hawaiian Z-Boat		1	1 3	6 Mantac	at	<u></u>
Mawallali Z-Boat						2 1
Bubbles Below		1	1 2	21 Monoh	ווט	
Bubbles pelow						20 30
O-main Zadica		1	10	Zodi Zodi	ac	20 30
Captain Zodiac	!					20 33
E H - Adventures		1	11	23 Zodi	ac	20 33
Zodiac Adventures					marazas	0.4 00.40 04.00
TOTAL		20	47		1	04 122

CONSULTATION

Comments and Responses to the EIS Preparation Notice

Comments were received from the following parties following publication of the EIS Preparation Notice for the Hanalei Excursion Boat Staging Operations Draft Environmental Impact Statement in the OEQC Bulletin on April 23, 1991. The comments and responses to those comments are reproduced in this section.

1000 Friends of Kauai Sierra Club, Kaua'i Group Ms. Carol Wilcox Jungle Bob's, Inc. Mr. Kimo Paul Evans Mr. Arnold T. Phillips II 1000 FRIENDS OF KAUAI P.O. BOX 99 HANALEI, HI 96714-0099

May 23, 1991

Mr. Peter Nakamura, Director Planning Department County of Kauai 4280 Rice Street Lihue, HI 96766

RE: Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Hanalei Excursion Boat Staging Operations, Hanalei, Kauai at TMK 5-5-01:33, 5-5-01:2 (por.)

Dear Mr. Nakamura:

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on the abovementioned draft EIS. Our comments are as follows:

On page 41, the applicant refers to the conclusions reached in other studies without naming those studies. We found this to be most annoying and unprofessional. The applicant should either cite studies along with their conclusions, or don't even mention the studies in the first place!

Regarding the AECOS study in Appendix A, we had several concerns:

- 1) The samples deliberately excluded surface film petrochemicals, and no explanation was given for this exclusion. We feel that there must be some impact caused by surface film petrochemicals on the aquatic fauna of the Hanalei River estuary. Whether or not this is so, it should be addressed in the EIS.
- 2) The sampling should have been performed during the dry season when the commercial boating operations are normally at their peak. Greater residence times of the water in the rivermouth could also be expected to occur then, which would probably alter the results of the study.

Mr. Peter Nakamura - May 23, 1991 - page 2

3) Commercial operations had not occurred for several days prior to the sampling. If #2 above had resulted in significantly greater residence times, the sampling should have been performed after at least one or two days of commercial operations.

Regarding the comments by Kelly Archer in Appendix B, we also had some concerns:

- 1) Archer merely refers to the Kahana Estuary on Oahu and general estimates of "native fish which inhabit or visit estuarine environments in the State." No study was made of aquatic fauna in the Hanalei River estuary.
- 2) Archer states that "currently, no information is available on the sensitivity to petrochemicals of Hawaii's native stream and estuarine species." It is our opinion that the purpose of an EIS is to obtain such information!
- 3) The EIS also contains an admission that "the potential for impacts of gasoline spillage during in-water fueling has not been assessed." Again, the purpose of an EIS is to provide just such assessments.
- 4) The EIS states that "there conceivably could be unique situations or conditions when impacts on specific species could result, but such conditions and studies on individual species are more difficult to assess." This is the justification given for not performing studies of aquatic fauna in the Hanalei River estuarine environment, which we find to be totally unacceptable.
- 5) One conclusion reached is that the "chronic effects of the soluble petrochemicals are probably not a major concern since long term repeated exposure of native aquatic fauna is doubtful because the native species tend to avoid areas of stream disturbance such as boating activities." In other words, since commercial boating scares off the native species, there is no reason to worry about the effects of petrochemicals on those species. Of course, there is no mention of any possible long-term effects such disturbance would have on these species, such as preventing their "passage to the ocean and back to insure reproductive success."

Mr. Peter Nakamura - May 23, 1991 - page 3

6) The possible effects on marine species using the estuary as a nursery are not addressed.

Regarding alternative sites, we have the following comments:

- 1) All other sites are dismissed as "long-term" only, since the Hanalei Excursion Boat Baseyard is "the most readily available site." We find this rationale of dismissing all alternative sites just because they are "long-term" solutions to be quite puzzling.
- 2) Port Allen is described as "unfeasible" in the EIS, yet other commercial boating ventures find it <u>feasible</u> and are using the harbor successfully.
- 3) Barking Sands is mentioned as one of the possible "long-term" alternatives. This may become an even more viable option, since the Navy is planning to build a small boat harbor there in the near future.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to offer our comments on this draft EIS.

Sincerely,

James Nishida President

cc: North Shore Charter Boat Association

WILSON OKAMOTO & ARSOCIATES, INC.



PLANNERS 1150 SOUTH KING STREET HONOLULU, HAWAII 95814

PHONE: (808) 531-5251

ARCHITECTS

Mr. James Nishida, President 1000 Friends of Kauai P.O. Box 99 Hanalei, Hawaii 96714-0099

Subject:

Hanalei Excursion Boat Staging Operations, Hanalei, Kauai

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Dear Mr. Nishida:

Thank you for your comments of May 23, 1991 on the subject EIS. We are, however, puzzled regarding the means by which your organization was offered an opportunity to review and comment on the document. Our understanding was that it had not been distributed for public review by the County of Kauai. The April 23, 1991 OEQC Bulletin only announced that an EIS Preparation Notice had been filed by the County. Although the Draft EIS had previously been submitted to the County, it will not be distributed for public review until the County files it with the OEQC for formal processing. By law, the County may not file the Draft EIS with the OEQC until at least 30 days after the EIS Preparation Notice is published.

Notwithstanding the procedural discrepancy of your review, we offer the following responses to your comments in the respective order presented in your letter.

- The discussion on page 41 continues a summary of two technical reports supporting the EIS. Both reports are cited on the preceding page and are appended to the EIS document. Full citations of all studies referenced in the technical reports are contained in those reports. In response to your criticism, however, we will revise the text of the Final EIS to provide a brief citation of all studies mentioned.
- In response to a comment by Dr. John Harrison of the Environmental Center, University of Hawaii, which is contained in the Draft EIS, we provided the rationale for our deliberate omission of a water surface assessment. Basically, floating petrochemicals tend to clump together such that any random sampling may or may not capture them. If they were captured, the analysis would be skewed since the total value would be attributed to the soluble fraction. The surface component is accounted for in the sampling since a portion would dissolve and be

WILSON OKAMOTO

2844-02 Letter to Mr. James Nishida Page 2 June 19, 1991

measured in the analysis of soluble petrochemicals. Most of the remainder will evaporate rapidly.

- 3. As a disclosure document, the Draft EIS acknowledged that petrochemical contamination may be of greater concern during low flow conditions. The water quality study conducted by AECOS demonstrated, however, that even after an exaggerated boating operation simulation, the level of petrochemical contamination is extremely low and highly transitory. Toward assuring that petrochemical contamination is minimized, it was suggested that periodic testing be conducted as a mitigation measure to determine if further modification of boating operations is needed. It should also be noted that, based on the findings of the study, extending the simulated operation over any period of time longer than a few hours prior to the test would not have altered the results.
- 4. Regarding your comments on the extent of studies required for an EIS, the accepting agency must consider what is reasonable for a proposed activity. The technical studies indicate that boating activities will not have a significant acute and irreversible impact on the aquatic environment, based on data collected and a general understanding of the environment in which it will occur. We feel it would be unreasonable to require a comprehensive study examining all conceivable circumstances under which an adverse impact may result. This limitation was disclosed in the section on "Unresolved Issues." A more reasonable alternative is to a permit the boating operations to continue and to periodically monitor water quality.
- 5. Your comment that because boating activity "scares off native species, there is no reason to worry about the effects of petrochemicals on those species" is taken out of context. Significant boating activities only affect the estuary for a few hours each day; thus the "window" for potential conflict is relatively small. Moreover, the portion of the estuary used by the boaters is small in relation to the size of the estuary which extends beyond the Hanalei Bridge. Thus, avoidance of boats does not mean avoidance of the estuary.

WILSON OKAMOTO

& ASSOCIATES, INC.

2844-02 Letter to Mr. James Nishida Page 3 June 19, 1991

- 6. The potential release of petrochemicals in the estuary and their impact on aquatic species that may be present was addressed in the Draft EIS. Although attention was focused on gobi species, the Draft EIS also acknowledged that the estuary serves as a nursery for a variety of marine species which may also be exposed to effects of the boating operations. The text of the Final EIS will be revised as necessary to better convey this.
- 7. Port Allen was considered an unfeasible alternative due to its distance from the Na Pali Coast where the applicants conduct their tours using mostly small craft. Barking Sands is considered a "long-term" alternative if appropriate facilities can be developed into which the boaters could relocate in the future. In the meantime, however, they will need a base to operate from and the Sheehan Boatyard is the most feasible facility approved for such use. It should be recognized that the proposed action assessed by the EIS is the staging of excursion boating operations and not the establishment of a boatyard.

We hope that we have satisfactorily responded to your comments. Your comments, along with our response, will be reproduced in an addendum to the Draft EIS as well as in the Final EIS.

Sincerely,

Earl K. Matsukawa, Project Manager

py: to Hartin Wolff Esq.

SIERRA CLUB. KAUAI GROUP BOX 3412 LIHUE, HI., 95766

County of Kaua': Planning Dept. 4280 Rice St. Lihue, Hi. 96765

Subject: North Shore Excursion Tour Boat Operations

The Kaua'i Group of the Sierra Club has the following comments about the preparation of the Environmental Impact Statement for the above referenced project:

- 1. The possible effects of spillage or drainage of fuels into the Hanalei River estuary on freshwater and marine species of fish and crustaceans, and shoreline flora is inadequately addressed. O'opu larvae, whose health is essential to the recreational fishery, may be very susceptible to petrochemical pollution in downstream migrations.
- 2. Baseline studies of marine and freshwater species in the Hanalei River system should be conducted. Only through comprehensive baseline studies will we be able to determine the subsequent environmental effects of boating operations on the river estuary.
- 3. The suitability of alternate sites on the east and west sides of the island as a base for boating operations is inadequately addressed. There is reason to believe that Port Allen, Kikiaola, and perhaps Barking Sands would provide adequate alternative bases of operations.
- 4. The social impact of extensive tour boat operations at the river mouth are inadaquately addressed. The public "Black Pot" park area has a tendency to be overrun by these tourist operations, and local residents feel that they are squeezed cut of the traditional quiet enjoyment of their recreational resources. Cultural conflict between kamaainas and newcomers, who are sometimes viewed as short term economic exploiters, is a problem without proposed mitigation.

Thank you for considering our concerns.

Sincerely.

Jack Lundgren, Vice Conservation Chair, Sierra Club, Kaua'i Croup

WILSON OKAMOTO



PHONE: (808) 531-5261

Mailing address: P. O. Box 3530 Hondlulu, Hawaii 96811 Mr. Jack Lundgren, Vice Conservation Chair Sierra Club, Kauai Group P.O. Box 3412 Lihue, HI 96766

Dear Mr. Lundgren:

Subject:

Hanalei Excursion Boat Staging Operations, Hanalei, Kauai

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Thank you for your undated comments on the subject Draft EIS. Your comments were received by FAX on May 13, 1991. We are puzzled, however, regarding the means by which your organization was offered an opportunity to review and comment on the document. Our understanding was that the document had not been distributed for public review by the County of Kauai. The April 23, 1991 OEQC Bulletin only announced that an EIS Preparation Notice had been filed by the County. Although the Draft EIS had previously been submitted to the County, it will not be distributed for public review until the County files it with the OEQC for formal processing. By law, the County may not file the Draft EIS with the OEQC until at least 30 days after the EIS Preparation Notice is published.

Notwithstanding the procedural discrepancy of your review, we offer the following responses to your comments in the respective order presented in your letter.

1. We acknowledge that fuel spills were not addressed. Depending upon the amount spilled, stream conditions at the time of spillage, tide, wind, solar exposure, presence of fauna and their life stage and myriad other factors, there could conceivably be an acute but transitory adverse impact on the estuary. The potential for spills, however, will be fully mitigated by requiring that boats be fueled on land within the boatyard. Only one vessel will be fueled in the water due to its larger size which precludes daily haul-out. In-water fueling of the single boat involves several precautionary measures which will minimize the risk of spillage. If these procedures are deemed inadequate, however, alternative fueling procedures can be required as a condition of approving the Special Management Area permit.

WILSON OKAMOTO

2844-02 Letter to Mr. Jack Lundgren Page 2 June 19, 1991

- 2. Baseline studies sponsored by the State have recently been completed which provide much valuable information on Hanalei River. However, in spite of the high cost of the study and time consumed in research, it only begins to establish the type of information required to assess the various uses affecting the river, including the excursion boating operations.
- 3. Distance from the Na Pali Coast where the applicants conduct their tours in mostly small craft is the primary limitation to using Port Allen as a base. Your suggestion for considering Kikiaola as an alternative site will be investigated further for discussion in the Final EIS. Barking Sands is close enough to the Na Pali Coast to overcome the distance limitation if a suitable staging facility were available. Thus, it is considered a long-term alternative location where boating operations could be relocated if a facility could be established.
- 4. As discussed in the Draft EIS, the establishment of the Sheehan Boatyard addressed community concerns regarding use of Black Pot Park for the staging of boating operations. We will, however, provide additional discussion in the Final EIS that the community is divided on the issue of whether any form of commercial boating should be permitted in the Hanalei area.

We hope that we have satisfactorily responded to your comments. Your comments, along with our response, will be reproduced in the addendum to the Draft EIS as well as in the Final EIS.

Sincerely,

Earl K. Matsukawa, Project Manager

Earl Matular

Carol Wilcox P.O.Box 869 Hanalei, HI 98714

May 13, 1991

Peter Nakamura, Director County of Kauai Planning Department 4280 Rice Street Lihue, Kauai 96766

Dear Mr Nakamura,

RE: North Shore Excursion Tour Boat Operations, SMA application, publication in OEQC Bulletin April 23, 1991.

- 1. I would appreciate a copy of the EIS, which I haven't seen. I requested a copy of the EIS through your office when it first came out, and was informed that they would be distributed at the time it was announced in the OEQC bulletin. Is this the responsibility of the applicant? Will the applicant be able to make one available to me?
- 2. There are specific requirement by law for an EIS. Is this technically an EIS? Does the consultant who prepared this document maintain that it is an EIS under HRS Chapter 343? Are all the requisite issues covered (most specifically, environmental, community and cumulative issues) and is the document objective and not self-serving, as required by law? Is the issue of infrastructure support for the level of activity proposed discussed in full? The EIS should be carefully scrutinized for these things by reviewing agencies. The applicant should be prepared for this from the public.
- 3. In respect to the 30-day consultation period (deadline May 23, 1991), it is my understanding that the applicant has withdrawn the SMA application and review process has thus come to a halt. I will presume that this is the case, and that notification of a new application will be in the OEQC Bulletin at the appropriate time. (However I would still like to have an EIS.)

Mahalo,

Carol Wilcox

cc. North Shore Charter Boat Association OEQC

WILSON
OKAMOTO



ENGINEERS ARCHITECTS PLANNERS

1150 SOUTH KING STREET HONOLULU, HAWAII 96814 PHONE: (808) 531-5281

Mailing address: P. O. Box 3530 Honolyly, Hawaii 96811 Ms. Carol Wilcox P.O. Box 869 Hanalei, HI 96714

Dear Ms. Wilcox:

Subject:

Hanalei Excursion Boat Staging Operations, Hanalei, Kauai Environmental Impact Statement Preparation Notice

Thank you for your comments of May 13, 1991 on the subject EIS Preparation Notice. We wish to clarify that although an environmental assessment was filed with the County of Kauai in April 1989, and a Draft EIS was subsequently filed in October 1990, neither document was filed by the County with State Office of Environmental Quality Control (OEQC) for processing, until recently. On April 23, 1991, the EIS Preparation Notice, based on the environmental assessment, was published in the OEQC Bulletin. This initiated the EIS review process. The Draft EIS has yet to be filed by the County and distributed for public review.

In response to your request to review the Draft EIS, you will be included on our distribution list of parties to be consulted.

With respect to your comments regarding the Draft EIS, please be assured that it must comply with the content requirements established by Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes. We wish to clarify, however, that the EIS addresses the staging of excursion boat operations at the existing Sheehan Boatyard and not the boatyard itself. A separate environmental assessment for the boatyard was previously accepted by the County as a basis for the issuance of an SMA permit for that facility.

Your understanding of the status of the SMA permit is not entirely correct. Because of complex legal circumstances surrounding the permit, we suggest that you contact Mr. Martin Wolff at 245-8938 (Kauai) for a detailed explanation.

WILSON OKAMOTO

& ASSOCIATES, INC.

2844-02 Letter to Ms. Carol Wilcox Page 2 June 19, 1991

We hope that we have satisfactorily responded to your comments. Your comments, along with our response, will be reproduced in the addendum to the Draft EIS as well as in the Final EIS.

Sincerely,

Earl K. Matsukawa, Project Manager

Earl Matuta

GLE BO

HAWAII'S HIKING HEADQUARTERS MAIN OFFICE: P.O. BOX 1245, HANALEI, KAUAI, HAWAII 96714

May 11, 1991

TO: Mr. Brian Choy, Director Office of Environmental And Airy Control FROM: Robert R. Rood, Resident, Hanalei KE: North Shore Boaters

Dear Mr. Choy;

In a recent front page article in the Carden Island newspaper 1 read that this would be a good time to write your office and give input regarding the North Shore Boating industry's use of the Hanalei River mouth. I appreciate the opportunity to do so.

I am a retail store owner in Hanalei as you can tell by my letterhead. I have had this store for eight years, and have lived in Hanalei for eight years. We sell shoes, hats, clothing, camping equipment and other outdoor type products. I have also been president of the Ching-Young Village Shopping Center's Merchant's Association for six years.

I feel confident in saying that the vast majority of tennants here want the boating industry to continue. The "boaters" bring many tourists into our Center and their passengers shop in our stores and eat in our restaurants. The boaters and the retailers are very intordependent.

My personal opinion is that the ELS is good, and hopefully would prove that the industry has an acceptable impact on the river. I believe no more permits should be issued, and a natural attrition should eventually reduce the number of boats. I agree the environment is fragile but so is our Hanalei economy. We have all built up our businesses over the years and invested alot of money, blood, sweat, and tears. It has been a struggle for all of us to make ends meet and put food on the table when we are so isolated out here on the North Shore of Kauai. It is not easy to get our share of the tourist market. I think it would be a crime to put these people out of business. T know I would hate it to happen to me. I know that without the boating passengers it would have a very negative impact on my business, much the same as when the Princeville Sheraton closed two years ago.

The boating industry also supplies needed services for the Na Pali Coast. I rent camping equipment to tourists who are dropped off at Kalalau Beach by Captain Zodiac.

JUNGLE BOB'S - HANALEI CHING YOUNG VILLAGE HANALEI, KAUAI, HAWAII

JUNGLE BOB'S - KEKAHA WAIMEA CANYON PLAZA KEKAHA, KAUAI, HAWAII

JUNGLE BOB'S - LAHAINA **505 FRONT STREET** LAHAINA, MAUI, HAWAII

(2)

Many times these tourists injure themselves hiking, or they simply don't have the strength to hike out once they have hiked in. The Zodiacs basically rescue them at this point. Consequently, there is a public access/safety issue involved here that can't be ignored. They also resue people along the coast that have mis-judged currents, and occasionally recover bodies of people who were less fortunate. We tell people to always pack out what they pack in, and so do the boaters, but people are often lazy. The boaters, as a result, carry out many many bags of garbage for the state each year at no charge.

Regulations are fine, but they should be fair enough as to not eliminate a reasonable profit for the industry. Also, there are other people who use the river with their boats who do not carry commercial passengers. There are other people up stream that might have an effect on the river. It seems that the boaters are the only ones I read about though. I think it is important to look at the "big picture" of all the businesses that the Boaters have an impact on. We need each other, and I hope you and Kauai County officials will take all of this into consideration when you make your decisions. Thank you for your attention in this matter.

Sincerely,

Robert R. Reed

President, Jungle Boh's Inc.

WILSON OKAMOTO & ASSOCIATES, INC.



Mailing address
P. O. Box 353 (

PHONE: (308) 531-5261

Mr. Robert R. Reed, President Jungle Bob's Inc. P.O. Box 1245 Hanalei, Hawaii 96714

Subject:

Hanalei Excursion Boat Staging Operations, Hanalei, Kauai Environmental Impact Statement Preparation Notice

Thank you for your comments of May 11, 1991 on the subject EIS Preparation Notice. Your comments, along with this response, will be reproduced in the addendum to the Draft EIS as well as in the Final EIS.

Sincerely,

Earl Matura

Earl K. Matsukawa, Project Manager

this (gite; any adie) 40 Dear Director Brian Choy & This letter so totally in support of the North Show Boaters who operate out of the Handei Rives. I think this is clearly a vendetta and part of conspinary to put the boaters out of business. I hope the courts will see fet to vinde ate them and order the county to issue the permits: and do votre. I make less than \$ 4000 a month and the law allows me to took my ability to seturn to the work force. So, I seasonally try to earn to more money operating a small coffee factivity/infor-mation booth at the launching site. However dependent my job is on the outcome of issue my neasons are 1. It is a fact that tons of opelie is netted yearly from close off slow in Hanalie 2. Residents along the Hanalei River have detches leading from their home to the river suggest discharge of waste

3. Why is this river being singles out and not any others - such as Waishua River where boots don't even go out to salty waters. The Mayor has fund-russes here.

4. Why aren't sental cars and believes the not subject to such harasment by phony environmental groups

5- Where does the waste of the huffaloes we see in pastures along the river go to.

This is clearly more shelai. . Please regulation - not destroy.

> Kimo Paul Evans P.O. Box 696 Hanalei Hi 96714 Tel 808/826-6815

WILSON OKAMOTO



ENGINEERS ARCHITECTS PLANNERS

1150 SOUTH KING STREET HONOLULU. HAWAII 96814 PHONE: (808) 531-5281

Mailing address: P. O. Box 3530 Honolulu, Hawan 96811 Mr. Kimo Paul Evans P.O. Box 696 Hanalei, HI 96714

Subject:

Hanalei Excursion Boat Staging Operations, Hanalei, Kauai Environmental Impact Statement Preparation Notice

Thank you for your comments of May 11, 1991 on the subject EIS Preparation Notice. Your comments, along with this response, will be reproduced in the addendum to the Draft EIS as well as in the Final EIS.

Sincerely,

Carla Matril

Earl K. Matsukawa, Project Manager

ARNOLD THIELENS PHILLIPS II

POST OFFICE BOX SIXTY-FOUR
HANALEI, KAUAI, HAWAII 96714

TELEPHONE / FASCSIMILE (808) 026..727

Mr. Martin Wolff, Esq. Post Office Box 751 Lihue, HI 96755

May 22,1991

RE: EIS/ consulted party

Dear Sir;

I am requesting that you list me as a consulted party on the environmental impact statement that is to be filed by the North Shore Charter Boat Association.

Thank you for your courtesy and attention to this matter.

Kind Regards,

Arrold T. Phillips II

ATP/tpg

cc:Environmental Council
Office of Environmental Quality Control

WILSON OKAMOTO

& ASSOCIATES, INC.



ENGINEERS ARCHITECTS PLANNERS

1150 SOUTH KING STREET HONGEULU. HAWAII 96814 PHONE: (808) 531-5261

Mailing address:

Mr. Arnold Phillips II P.O. Box 64 Hanalei, HI 96714

Dear Mr. Phillips II:

Subject:

Hanalei Excursion Boat Staging Operations, Hanalei, Kauai

Environmental Impact Statement Preparation Notice

Thank your for your letter of May 22, 1991 requesting to be a consulted party on the Draft EIS. We shall include you on the distribution list for that document.

Sincerely,

Earl K. Matsukawa, Project Manager

Carlo Mahuka

Persons to be Consulted

The following is a revised list of parties to be consulted. This list incorporates names of those who commented following publication of the EIS Preparation Notice in the OEQC Bulletin on April 23, 1991.

Federal Agencies

Department of the Army

State Agencies

Department of Health Department of Land and Natural Resources Office of Environmental Quality Control University of Hawaii, Environmental Center

General Public

Michael H. Kido 1000 Friends of Kauai Sierra Club, Kaua'i Group Ms. Carol Wilcox Jungle Bob's, Inc. Mr. Kimo Paul Evans Mr. Arnold T. Phillips II